

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 24-18

AMENDING THE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FOR THE OSHKOSH URBANIZED AREA - 2018.

WHEREAS, the East Central Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission, as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the Oshkosh Urbanized Area, adopted the *2018 Transportation Improvement Program for the Oshkosh Urbanized Area*, at the October 27, 2017 quarterly Commission meeting; and

WHEREAS, the Transportation Improvement Program was prepared to meet the requirements of the Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act: (FAST), as prescribed by federal regulations; and

WHEREAS, metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs) must work with GO Transit to establish calendar year targets for transit performance measures addressed in the Transit Asset Management Plan (TAM) and incorporate them into the TIP; and

WHEREAS, all projects that use federal funds must appear in an adopted Transportation Improvement Program; and

WHEREAS, the MPO staff will prepare the appropriate documentation to meet federal and state requirements for any transportation project appearing in the TIP, **Now Therefore;**

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE EAST CENTRAL WISCONSIN REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION:

SECTION 1. That the Commission approves the amendment as presented to include the attached transit performance measures in the adopted 2018 Transportation Improvement Program for the Oshkosh Urbanized Area.

Effective Date: September 24, 2018

Prepared for: Steering Committee

Prepared By: David J. Moesch, Associate Transportation Planner

Martin Farrell – Fond du Lac Co

Jeff Nooyen, Vice-Chair – Outagamie Co.

Alice Connors – Calumet Co.

Dick Koeppen – Waupaca Co.

Jeremy Johnson – Menominee Co.

Donna Kalata – Waushara Co.

Dave Albrecht – Winnebago Co.

Jerry Erdmann, Chair – Shawano Co.

SETTING TARGETS FOR TRANSIT PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Introduction

In accordance with 49 CFR Parts 625 and 630 for Transit Asset Management (TAM), GO Transit has developed the following performance measures for capital assets. Assets are categorized by Rolling Stock, Equipment and Facilities. GO Transit is a tier II provider.

MAP-21/Fast Act Performance Measures for transit as established in 49 USC 625 and 23 CFR 490 are:

- Transit
 - Rolling Stock: The percentage of revenue vehicles (by type) that exceed the useful life benchmark (ULB).
 - Equipment: The percentage of non-revenue service vehicles (by type) that exceed the ULB.
 - Facilities: The percentage of facilities (by group) that are rated less than 3.0 on the Transit Economic Requirements Model (TERM) Scale.

Performance Measures & Targets

Performance measure of vehicles will be based on the percentage of vehicles that have either met or exceeded their established useful life benchmark (ULB). The established ULB for heavy and medium duty buses is 12 years. For support vehicles, the ULB is 10 years.

For equipment and facilities, performance will be measured by condition rating of each individual asset.

The following targets have been established:

Transit Asset Management Goals

Category	Target
Revenue Vehicles	Allow less than 19% of vehicles to meet or exceed ULB.
Non-Revenue Vehicles	Allow less than 25% of vehicles to meet or exceed ULB.
Equipment & Facilities	Maintain a condition rating above 2 (marginal).

*ULB is useful life benchmark. The established ULB for heavy and medium duty buses is 12 years. For support vehicles, the ULB is 10 years.

Asset Condition Summary

Asset Category/Class	Description	Count	Avg Age	Condition Rating*	% at or past ULB
Revenue Vehicles	Buses	15	11.8		60%
Non-Revenue Vehicles	Staff and Maintenance Vehicles	4	10.3		50%
Facility	Administration	1	40	4	
Facility	Passenger Facility	1	35	3	

*** Condition Rating Scale**

5, Excellent, No visible defects, new or near new condition, may still be under warranty, if applicable
4, Good, Good condition, but no longer new, may be slightly defective or deteriorated, but is overall functional

3, Adequate, Moderately deteriorated or defective; but has not exceeded useful life

2, Marginal, Defective or deteriorated in need of replacement; exceeded useful life

1, Poor, Critically damaged or in need of immediate repair; well past useful life

An asset is not in good repair if it is rated 1 or 2

The methodology used to establish targets is based on staff input, empirical data and comparisons to other plans developed by peers. Targets set above may be adjusted as needed.